

Bay Crossing Study Public Comments
January 1, 2026 – January 22, 2025

Date	Medium	Comment
1/3/2026	Email	<p>Thank you.</p> <p>Where is the 'excitement' as the State of Maryland continues its targeting and shaving off of the Skidmore Community.</p> <p>Eight (8) lanes...how many months, years will those directly affected be impacted during construction/destruction ..based on Larry Hogan's determination that 'only one option will be considered'. Words he babbled more or less.</p> <p>Skidmore, related to the African American community has been targeted, under siege from entities since the foundational residents were freed from bondage. It has continued a myriad of ways.</p> <p>Surely, if this community over the centuries consisted of those deemed wealthy or politically connected these continued patterns would not exist. It is understood that the State of Maryland did target the Labrot property in the 1940's resulting in the land being essentially given as Sandy Pt. State Park.</p> <p>Yet, most of the exploitation was leveled at the marginalized African American community as it relates to US 50, zoning changes, etc., And, the audacity to allocate up to \$20Million for the Broadneck Trail Project and then having the temerity to want to place a 'mixed use' lane on the E B side of US 50 (Whitehall Access/Skidmore Dr) speaks to the brazen audacity to push for a mixed-use lane. How will we navigate exit & entry to our homes.</p> <p>Our quality of living matters. Yet, clearly not to the state which its history of entrenched bias, bigotry & exploitation.</p> <p>[Name Redacted]</p>
1/9/2026	Email	<p>I find it rather amusing that it was so Very Obvious to non engineers like myself to build the new/enlarged bridge exactly where it now exists....Any fool could have given that advice.....You folks spent a decade and millions on studies and research and I could have given you the decision for a nickel!.....</p>