

Bay Crossing Study Public Comments
May 1, 2026 – May 31, 2025

Date	Medium	Comment
5/2/2026	Website	I would recommend evaluating the some of the underlying assumptions in the traffic study as it seems odd that alternatives with greater capacity (8-8-8 or 8-10-8) would result in greater queing than the smaller 6-8-6 option. In addition that 8-8-8 would generate the most queing despite being the middle capacity option also suggests the study results need closer examination. The only way this could happen is if certain configurations were anticipated to attract more traffic than others. This is likely not true if the queing appears as expected. In addition, it makes sense that the expanded lanes should connect to logical intersections with other roads, such as the 301/50 split vs an arbitrary stopping point. As such I would reocmmend reconsideration of the 8-8-8 option. Thanks.
5/14/2026	Website	Sound barriers should be considered along Route 50/301 near the approach to the bridge to mitigate the increase in the sound from the roadway that will be created by adding an additional lane in each direction.